Feedback Templates for NCA Reviewers

Explanation of your recommendation TO THE PLANNER(S)

Template 1: Strong Accept Recommendation

This submission demonstrates significant scholarly merit and should be accepted for the following reasons:

- The panel is clearly and strongly connected to the convention theme.
- This submission makes a valuable contribution to our field by [specific contribution]
- The theoretical framework is well-developed and effectively [specific aspect of theory]
- The methodology is robust in [specific methodological strength]
- The analysis demonstrates rigor and depth through [specific analytical strength]
- The submission aligns well with the [division/unit] focus and would be of interest to our members

This submission would be an excellent addition to the program and would likely draw significant interest from attendees.

Template 2: Accept Recommendation

I recommend accepting this submission because:

- The panel is clearly and strongly connected to the convention theme.
- The work addresses a timely and relevant topic within our field
- The submission demonstrates adequate scholarly merit through [specific aspects]
- The research approach is generally sound, though there are minor areas for improvement
- The work contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations in our field
- The submission would be of interest to members of our [division/unit]

While not exceptional, this submission meets the standards for inclusion in the program.

Template 3: Panel Submission - Accept Recommendation

I recommend accepting this panel submission for the following reasons:

- Panel Cohesion: [comment on theme integration]
- Diversity: [comment on institutional/methodological/theoretical diversity]
- Expertise: [comment on presenter qualifications]
- Relevance: The panel addresses topics of current interest to our [division/unit]
- Potential Impact: This panel could generate valuable discussion and future research

This panel would be a worthwhile program addition and likely attract good attendance.

Template 4: Rejection Recommendation

I recommend rejecting this submission for the following reasons:

- The convention theme is not clearly reflected in the submission.
- The work does not demonstrate sufficient scholarly merit for inclusion
- The theoretical framework is [underdeveloped/misapplied/absent]
- The methodology has significant flaws, including [specific issues]
- The analysis lacks depth or rigor in key areas
- The submission does not align well with the focus of the [division/unit]
- The work does not make a significant contribution to existing scholarship.

While the topic has potential, the current submission does not meet the standards expected for our program.

Concise, constructive feedback TO THE SUBMITTER(S)

Template 1: Strong Acceptance Feedback

Dear Author(s),

Thank you for your submission titled [Title]. Your work demonstrates significant scholarly merit, and I am pleased to provide the following feedback:

Strengths:

- The convention theme is not clearly reflected in the submission.
- This submission makes a valuable contribution by [specific contribution]
- The theoretical framework effectively [specific aspect of theory]
- Your methodology is robust in [specific methodological strength]
- The analysis demonstrates rigor through [specific analytical strength]

Areas for Improvement:

- Consider expanding [specific section] by [suggested improvement]
- The discussion could be strengthened by [specific suggestion]
- You might enhance the potential impact this research has on the field by [specific enhancement]
- You might enhance the potential impact this research has on society by [specific enhancement]

Your work shows promise for advancing our understanding of [topic/field]. As you prepare your presentation, consider [1-2 specific presentation suggestions].

Template 2: Recommend Accepting Feedback

Dear Author(s),

Thank you for your submission titled [Title]. I find your work to be a worthwhile contribution to our field and offer the following feedback:

Strengths:

- The convention theme is not clearly reflected in the submission.
- Your focus on [topic/issue] is timely and relevant
- The work effectively [specific positive quality]
- Your approach to [specific element] is particularly noteworthy

Suggestions for Enhancement:

- Theoretical Framework: Consider [specific suggestion]
- Methodology: You might strengthen [specific quality] by [specific suggestion]
- Analysis: There's an opportunity to deepen [specific analytical element]

These suggestions are offered to help strengthen your final presentation. Your contribution to the convention is appreciated.

Template 3: Session Submission Feedback

Dear Panel Organizer(s),

Thank you for your panel submission titled [Title]. Here is my assessment:

Panel Strengths:

- Cohesion: [comment on theme integration]
- Diversity: [comment on institutional/methodological diversity]
- Expertise: [comment on presenter qualifications]

Individual Papers:

1. Paper 1: [brief specific feedback]
2. Paper 2: [brief specific feedback]
3. Paper 3: [brief specific feedback]

Suggestions for Enhancement:

- Consider [specific panel-level suggestion]
- Opportunity to [specific suggestion about flow/integration]
- Potential to [specific suggestion about audience engagement]

If accepted, I look forward to this panel's contribution to our scholarly conversations.

Template 4: Discussion Panel Submission Feedback

Dear Panel Organizer(s),

Thank you for your submission titled [Panel Title]. Here is my assessment:

Panel Strengths:

- Panel Cohesion: The convention theme is clearly reflected in the panel.
- Concept & Relevance: [Evaluate the panel's central question/theme and its timeliness]
- Participant Diversity: [Comment on the range of perspectives, backgrounds, and expertise represented]
- Format Innovation: [Assess the proposed discussion structure and facilitation approach]

Panel Participants:

Participant 1: [Name, Affiliation]

- [Comment on relevant expertise and anticipated contribution to the discussion]
- [How their perspective enhances the panel's objectives]

Participant 2: [Name, Affiliation]

- [Comment on relevant expertise and anticipated contribution to the discussion]
- [How their perspective enhances the panel's objectives]

Participant 3: [Name, Affiliation]

- [Comment on relevant expertise and anticipated contribution to the discussion]
- [How their perspective enhances the panel's objectives]

Discussion Framework

- [Assessment of the planned discussion format, questions, or prompts]
- [Feedback on time allocation and participant roles]
- [Comments on moderator's approach to facilitation]

Recommendations for Enhancement

- Structure: [Suggestion regarding discussion flow or framework]
- Audience Engagement: [Ideas for involving attendees in the conversation]
- Focus Areas: [Recommendations for particular aspects that could be emphasized]

Conclusion:

[Overall assessment of the panel's potential impact]

If accepted, I believe this discussion will contribute [specific value] to our collective understanding and generate meaningful dialogue.

Template 5: Constructive Rejection Feedback

Dear Author(s),

I have carefully reviewed your submission titled [Title]. While your work addresses an important topic, I have some suggestions that might strengthen future iterations and increase the possibility for acceptance at a later date:

Current Strengths:

- The convention theme is clearly reflected in the submission.
- Your focus on [specific aspect] is valuable
- The work shows potential in [specific area]

Areas Needing Development:

1. Theoretical Framework:
    - Current status: [specific observation]
    - Suggestion for development: [specific recommendation]
2. Methodology:
    - Current status: [specific observation]
    - Recommendation: [specific suggestion]
3. Analysis:
    - Current status: [specific observation]
    - Direction for enhancement: [specific recommendation]

Please consider these suggestions as ways to strengthen future submissions.